Index

American Journal of Audiology: A Journal of Clinical Practice
Index to Volume 9, 2000

Author Index	**149**
Subject Index	**149**
Title Index	**151**
Department Index	**151**

Author Index

Ashmead, D. H. *See* Hicks et al., 9(2), 124–130

Barker, S. E., Lesperance, M. M., & Kileny, P. R. Outcome of newborn hearing screening by ABR compared with four different DPOAE pass criteria, 9(2), 142–148

Batchelor, B. *See* Pannbacker et al., 9(2), 59–62

Bentler, R. A. List equivalency and test-retest reliability of the speech in noise test, 9(2), 84–100

Berent, M. *See* Schow et al., 9(2), 63–68

Binderim, L. *See* Pannbacker et al., 9(2), 59–62

Brooks, R. P. *See* Taylor & Brooks, 9(1), 50–55

Chermak, G. D. *See* Schow et al., 9(2), 63–68

Danhauer, J. L. *See* Johnson et al., 9(2), 112–123

Dean, M. S., & Martin, F. N. Insert earphone depth and the occlusion effect, 9(2), 131–134

Domitz, D. M., & Schow, R. L. A new CAPD battery—multiple auditory processing assessment: factor analysis and comparisons with SCAN, 9(2), 101–111

Ellingson, R. M. *See* Henry et al., 9(1), 36–49

Fausti, S. A. *See* Henry et al., 9(1), 36–49

Flick, C. L. *See* Henry et al., 9(1), 36–49

Formby, C. *See* Gold et al., 9(2), 69–74

Frank, T. ANSI update: Maximum permissible ambient noise levels for audiometric test rooms, 9(1), 3–8

Gates, K. E. *See* Helfer et al., 9(2), 75–83

Gold, S. L., Formby, C. & Gray, W. C. Celebrating a decade of evaluation and treatment: The University of Maryland tinnitus & hyperacusis center, 9(2), 69–74

Gray, W. C. *See* Gold et al., 9(2), 69–74

Helfer, T. M., Shields, A. R., & Gates, K. E. Outcomes analysis for hearing conservation programs, 9(2), 75–83

Helt, W. J. *See* Henry et al., 9(1), 36–49

Henry, J. A., Fausti, S. A., Flick, C. L., Helt, W. J., & Ellingson, R. M. Computer-automated clinical technique for tinnitus quantification, 9(1), 36–49

Hicks, C. B., Tharpe, A. M., & Ashmead, D. H. Behavioral auditory assessment of young infants: Methodological limitations or natural lack of auditory responsiveness? 9(2), 124–130

Hopkins, C. M. *See* Lankford & Hopkins, 9(1), 30–35

Jacobson, G. P. Investing in audiology futures: How diversified should our portfolio be? 9(2), 58

Jacobson, G. "Universal reimbursement" for "universal newborn hearing screening"? 9(1), 2

Johnson, C. E., Danhauer, J. L., & Krishnamurti, S. A holistic model for matching high-tech hearing aid features to elderly patients, 9(2), 112–123

Joint Committee on Infant Hearing. Year 2000 position statement: Principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and intervention programs, 9(1), 9–29

Kileny, P. R. *See* Barker et al., 9(2), 142–148

Krishnamurti, S. *See* Hohnson et al., 9(2), 112–123

Lankford, J. E., & Hopkins, C. M. Ambient noise levels in nursing homes: Implications for audiometric assessment, 9(1), 30–35

Lesperance, M. M. *See* Barker et al., 9(2), 142–148

Martin, F. N. *See* Dean & Martin, 9(2), 131–134

Moretz, M. *See* Stuart et al., 9(2), 135–141

Pannbacker, M., Batchelor, B., & Binderim, L. Speech and language screening for audiologists, 9(2), 59–62

Schow, R. L. *See* Domitz & Schow, 9(2), 101–111

Schow, R. L., Seikel, J. A., Chermak, G. D., & Berent, M. Central auditory processes and test measures: ASHA 1996 revisited, 9(2), 63–68

Seikel, J. A. *See* Schow et al., 9(2), 63–68

Shields, A. R. *See* Helfer et al., 9(2), 75–83

Stuart, A., Moretz, M., & Yang, E. Y. An investigation of maternal stress after neonatal hearing screening, 9(2), 135–141

Taylor, C. L., & Brooks, R. P. Screening for hearing loss and middle-ear disorders in children using TEOAEs, 9(1), 50–55

Tharpe, A. M. *See* Hicks et al., 9(2), 124–130

Yang, E. Y. *See* Stuart et al., 9(2), 135–141

Subject Index

Hearing

Assessment of Hearing

Acoustical Instruments, Measurements, and Standards

Bone conduction

Insert earphone depth and the occlusion effect. Dean, M. S. and Martin, F. N., 9(2), 131–134

Computer-automated clinical technique for tinnitus quantification. Henry, J. A., Fausti, S. A., Flick, C. L., Helt, W. J., Ellingson, R. M., 9(1), 36–49

Acoustical Instruments, Measurements and Standards

Ambient noise levels in nursing homes: Implications for audiometric assessment. Lankford, J. E. and Hopkins, C. M., 9(1), 30–35

ANSI update: Maximum permissable ambient noise levels for audiometric test rooms, Frank, T., 9(1), 3–8

Auditory and Other Otic Pathologies

Celebrating a decade of evaluation and treatment: The University of Maryland Tinnitus & Hyperacusis Center. Gold, S. L., Formby, C., & Gray, W. C , 9(2), 69–74

Diagnostic Audiology-General

Central auditory processes and test measures: ASHA 1996 revisited. Schow, R. L., Seikel, J. A., Chermak, G. D., & Berent, M., 9(2), 63–68

A new CAPD battery—multiple auditory processing assessment: factor analysis and comparisons with SCAN, Domitz, D. M., & Schow, R. L., 9(2), 101–111

Outcome of newborn hearing screening by ABR compared to four different DPOAE pass criteria, Barker, S. E., Lesperance, M. M., & Kileny, P. R., 9(2), 142

Habitation, Rehabilitation, and Education

Aural Rehabilitation

A holistic model for matching high-tech hearing aid features to elderly patients. Johnson, C. E., Danhauer, J. L., & Krishnamurti, S., 9(2), 112–123

Hearing Aids and Other Prostheses

A holistic model for matching high-tech hearing aid features to elderly patients. Johnson, C. E., Danhauer, J. L., & Krishnamurti, S., 9(2), 112–123

Insert earphone depth and the occlusion effect. Dean, M. S., & Martin, F. N., 9(2), 131–134

Hearing Detection and Intervention

Year 2000 position statement: Principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and intervention programs, Joint Committee on Infant Hearing, 9(1), 9–29

Psychoacoustics

Computer-automated clinical technique for tinnitus quantification. Henry, J. A., Fausti, S. A., Flick, C. L., Helt, W. J., Ellingson, R. M., 9(1), 36–49

Screening

An investigation of maternal stress after neonatal hearing screening. Stuart, A., Moretz, M., Yang, E. Y., 9(2), 135–141

Central auditory processes and test measures: ASHA 1996 revisited, Schow, R. L., Chermak, G. D., & Berent, M., 9(2), 63–68

A new CAPD battery—multiple auditory processing assessment: factor analysis and comparisons with SCAN, Domitz, D. M., & Schow, R. L., 9(2), 101–111

Outcome of newborn hearing screening by ABR compared to four different DPOAE pass criteria, Barker, S. E., Lesperance, M. M., & Kileny, P. R., 9(2), 142

Pediatric

Screening for hearing loss and middle-ear disorders in children using TEOAEs. Taylor, C. L., & Brooks, R. P., 9(1), 50–55

Screening for hearing loss and middle-ear disorders in children using TEOAEs. Taylor, C. L., & Brooks, R. P., 9(1), 50–55

Specific Diagnostic Techniques and Approaches

Pediatric

Behavioral auditory assessment of young infants: Methodological limitations or natural lack of auditory responsiveness. Hicks, C. B., Tharpe, A. M., Ashmead, D. H., 9(2), 124–130

Central auditory processes and test measures: ASHA 1996 revisited. Schow, R. L., Seikel, J. A., Chermak, G. D., & Berent, M., 9(2), 63–68

A new CAPD battery—multiple auditory processing assessment: factor analysis and comparisons with SCAN, Domitz, D. M., & Schow, R. L., 9(2), 101–111

Geriatric

A holistic model for matching high-tech hearing aid features to elderly patients. Johnson, C. E., Danhauer, J. L., & Krishnamurti, S., 9(2), 112–123

List equivalency and test-retest reliability of the speech in noise (SIN) test. Bentler, R. A., 9(2), 84–100

A new CAPD battery—multiple auditory processing assessment: factor analysis and comparisons with SCAN, Domitz, D. M., & Schow, R. L., 9(2), 101–111

Pediatric

Behavioral auditory assessment of young infants: Methodological limitations or natural lack of auditory responsiveness. Hicks, C. B., Tharpe, A. M., Ashmead, D. H., 9(2), 124–130

Central auditory processes and test measures: ASHA 1996 revisited. Schow, R. L., Seikel, J. A., Chermak, G. D., & Berent, M., 9(2), 63–68

A new CAPD battery—multiple auditory processing assessment: factor analysis and comparisons with SCAN, Domitz, D. M., & Schow, R. L., 9(2), 101–111

Speech

List equivalency and test-retest reliability of the Speech in Noise (SIN) test. Bentler, R. A., 9(2), 84–100

Specific Diagnostic Techniques and Standards

Electrophysiologic

Outcome of newborn hearing screening by ABR compared to four different DPOAE pass criteria, Barker, S. E., Lesperance, M. M., & Kileny, P. R., 9(2), 142

Nature of Hearing and Its Disorders

Auditory and Other Otic Pathologies

Central auditory processes and test measures: ASHA 1996 revisited. Schow, R. L., Seikel, J. A., Chermak, G. D., & Berent, M., 9(2), 63–68

Computer-automated clinical technique for tinnitus quantification. Henry, J. A., Fausti, S. A., Flick, C. L., Helt, W. J., Ellingson, R. M., 9(1), 36–49

A new CAPD battery—multiple auditory processing assessment: factor analysis and comparisons with SCAN, Domitz, D. M., & Schow, R. L., 9(2), 101–111

Effects of Noise and Issues in Hearing Conservation

Outcomes analysis for hearing conservation programs. Helfer, T. M., Shields, A. R., & Gates, K. E., 9(2), 75–83

Hearing Loss and Deafness

Ambient noise levels in nursing homes: Implications for audiometric assessment. Lankford, J. E., & Hopkins, C. M., 9(1), 30–35

List equivalency and test-retest reliability of the speech in noise (SIN) test. Bentler, R. A., 9(2), 84–100

Outcome of newborn hearing screening by ABR compared to four different DPOAE pass criteria, Barker, S. E., Lesperance, M. M., & Kileny, P. R., 9(2), 142

Speech-Language Screening

Speech and language screening for audiologists, Pannbacker, M., Batchelor, B., & Binderim, L., 9(2), 59–62

Language

Assessment and Intervention

Screening

Speech-Language Screening

Speech and language screening for audiologists. Pannbacker, M., Batchelor, B., & Binderim, L., 9(2), 59–62

Professional and General Scientific Issues

Governmental and Professional Regulations

Ambient noise levels in nursing homes: Implications for audiometric assessment. Lankford, J. E., & Hopkins, C. M., 9(1), 30–35

Incidence and Prevalence of Communication Disorders

Outcome of newborn hearing screening by ABR compared to four different DPOAE pass criteria, Barker, S. E., Lesperance, M. M., & Kileny, P. R., 9(2), 142

Speech

Assessment and Intervention

Speech and language screening for audiologists. Pannbacker, M., Batchelor, B., & Binderim, L., 9(2), 59–62

Title Index

A holistic model for matching high-tech hearing aid features to elderly patients. Johnson, C. E., Danhauer, J. L., & Krishnamurti, S., 9(2), 112–123

A new CAPD battery—multiple auditory processing assessment: factor analysis and comparisons with SCAN. Domitz, D. M., & Schow, R. L., 9(2), 101–111

Ambient noise levels in nursing homes: Implications for audiometric assessment. Lankford, J. E., & Hopkins, C. M., 9(1), 30–35

An investigation of maternal stress after neonatal hearing screening. Stuart, A., Moretz, M., & Yang, E. Y., 9(2), 135–141

ANSI update: Maximum permissible ambient noise levels for audiometric test rooms. Frank, T., 9(1), 3–8

Behavioral auditory assessment of young infants: Methodological limitations or natural lack of auditory responsiveness? Hicks, C. B., Tharpe, A. M., & Ashmead, D. H., 9(2), 124–130

Celebrating a decade of evaluation and treatment: The University of Maryland tinnitus & hyperacusis center. Gold, S. L., Formby, C., & Gray, W. C., 9(2), 69–74

Central auditory processes and test measures: ASHA 1996 revisited. Schow, R. L., Chermak, G. D., & Berent, M., 9(2), 63–68

Computer-automated clinical technique for tinnitus quantification. Henry, J. A., Fausti, S. A., Flick, C. L., Helt, W. J., & Ellingson, R. M., 9(1), 36–49

Insert earphone depth and the occlusion effect. Dean, M. S., & Martin, F. N., 9(2), 131–134

Investing in audiology futures: How diversified should our portfolio be? Jacobson, G. P., 9(2), 58

List equivalency and test-retest reliability of the speech in noise test, 9(2), 84–100

Outcome of newborn hearing screening by ABR compared with four different DPOAE pass criteria. Barker, S. E., Lesperance, M. M., & Kileny, P. R., 9(2), 142–148

Outcomes analysis for hearing conservation programs. Helfer, T. M., Sheilds, A. R., & Gates, K. E., 9(2), 75–83

Screening for hearing loss and middle-ear disorders in children using TEOAEs. Taylor, C. L., & Brooks, R. P., 9(1), 50–55

Speech and language screening for audiologists. Pannbacker, M., Batchelor, B., & Binderim, L., 9(2), 59–63

"Universal reimbursement" for "universal newborn hearing screening"? Jacobson, G., 9(1), 2

Year 2000 position statement: Principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and intervention programs. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing, 9(1), 9–29

Department Index

Clinical Focus

Outcomes analysis for hearing conservation programs. Helfer, T. M., Shields, A. R., & Gates, K. E., 9(2), 75–83

Clinical Focus–Site Visit

Celebrating a decade of evaluation and treatment: The University of Maryland tinnitus & hyperacusis center. Gold, S. L., Formby, C., & Gray, W. C., 9(2), 69–74

Editorials

Investing in audiology futures: How diversified should our portfolio be? Jacobson, G. P., 9(2), 58

"Universal reimbursement" for "universal newborn hearing screening"? Jacobson, G., 9(1), 2

Perspective

ANSI update: Maximum permissible ambient noise levels for audiometric test rooms. Frank, T., 3–9(1), 8

Central auditory processess and test measures: ASHA 1996 revisited. Schow, R. L., Seikel, J. A., Chermak, G. D., & Berent, M., 9(2), 63–68

Speech and language screening for audiologists. Pannbacker, M., Batchelor, B., & Binderim, L., 9(2), 59–62

Year 2000 position statement: Principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and intervention programs. Joint Committee on Infant Hearing, 9(1), 9–29

Research and Technology–Articles

Ambient noise levels in nursing homes: Implications for audiometric assessment. Lankford,, J. E., & Hopkins, C. M., 9(1), 30–35

An investigation of maternal stress after neonatal hearing screening. Stuart, A., Moretz, M., & Yang, E. Y., 9(2), 135–141

Behavioral auditory assessement of young infants: Methodological limitations or natural lack of auditory responsiveness? Hicks, C. B., Tharpe, A. M., & Ashmead, D. H., 9(2), 124–130

Computer-automated clinical technique for tinnitus quantification. Henry, J. A., Fausti, S. A., Flick, C. L., Helt, W. J., & Ellingson, R. M., 9(1), 36–49

A holistic model for matching high-tech hearing aid features to elderly patients. Johnson, C. E., Danhauer, J. L., & Krishnamurti, S., 9(2), 112–123

Insert earphone depth and the occlusion effect. Dean, M. S., & Martin, F. N., 9(2), 131–134

List equivalency and test-retest reliabilty of the speech in noise test. Bentler, R. A., 9(2), 84–100

A new CAPD battery—multiple auditory processing assessment: factor analysis and comparisons with SCAN. Domitz, D. M., & Schow, R. L., 9(2), 101–111

Outcome of newborn hearing screening by ABR compared with four different DPOAE pass criteria. Barker, S. E., Lesperance, M. M., & Kileny, P. R., 9(2), 142–148

Screening for hearing loss and middle-ear disorders in children using TEOAEs. Taylor, C. L., & Brooks, R. P., 9(1), 50–55